

We use cookies to tailor your experience, measure site performance and present relevant offers and advertisements. By clicking on 'Allow' or any content on this site, you agree that cookies can be placed. You can [view our policies](#) or [manage your cookies](#) here.

Allow

The
Economist

Subscribe

Log in or sign up
Manage subscription

Ethical investors are starting to take a country's income level into account



Print edition | Finance and economics

Oct 25th 2018

WHAT DOES ESG stand for? To most people it refers to the environmental, social and governance standards that guide a growing number of ethical investors. But Charlie Robertson of Renaissance Capital, an investment bank, reckons ESG risks becoming code for something else: an excuse for investors to put all of their money in Scandinavia.

ADVERTISING



inRead invented by Teads

Get our daily newsletter

Upgrade your inbox and get our Daily Dispatch and Editor's Picks.

Email address

Sign up now

Latest stories

A massacre in Pittsburgh illustrates America's disunity

DEMOCRACY IN AMERICA

Brazil's next president is likely to be Jair Bolsonaro

Petrostates must diversify to cope with fluctuating oil prices

See more

Prosperous havens rate highly on the criteria ESG investors employ. By contrast, the emerging economies that interest Mr Robertson do badly. They are often dirty and corrupt—at least compared with Sweden. Their most liquid companies tend to be national champions or sprawling conglomerates that neglect minority shareholders and jump into bed with the government. Often emerging-market sovereigns default on their duty to protect human rights. Saudi Arabia, for example, will enter MSCI's emerging-market equity index in June. That will oblige many investors to plough funds into the kingdom, whatever they think of its rulers.

Ethically driven investment can avoid such distastefulness. But a blind adherence to ESG criteria, Mr Robertson argues, could skew capital flows towards the most privileged parts of the world. That would make it harder for poorer economies to escape poverty—a failure that could, in turn, inhibit their progress on green, governance and social-justice matters.

Are Mr Robertson's fears justified? Emerging markets do command less weight in

Subscribe: 12 weeks for \$12

**Each week, over one million
subscribers trust us to help them
make sense of the world.**

Join them. **Subscribe** to *The Economist* today and
enjoy your first 12 weeks for only \$12
or **Sign up** to continue reading three free articles

of development. (By contrast, America appears somewhat unethical given its wealth.) Or investors could reward the most improved nations instead of highly rated ones. That would favour emerging markets with room to improve over countries nearer moral perfection.

Mr Robertson may be pushing at an open door. Many ESG investors manage funds that are dedicated either to mature markets or emerging ones, rather than both. They are already implicitly judging countries and companies relative to their peers. MSCI's index also looks at the trend in ethics scores, as well as their levels.

Foreign capital can also be overrated as a source of growth. Emerging economies benefit from it only after they pass a certain threshold of institutional quality, suggests research by Ayhan Kose and Ashley Taylor of the World Bank and Eswar Prasad of Cornell University. Most of the big emerging markets, including Brazil, Russia, India, and China, fall short of this threshold. If investors' scruples deprive these economies of fickle foreign money, it may be a blessing in disguise. The only thing worse than a dirty, corrupt, ill-run economy is one that is also deeply in hock to foreigners.

This article appeared in the Finance and economics section of the print edition under the headline "Morality play"

\$12

for 12 weeks' access.



SUBSCRIBE NOW

Print edition | Finance and economics

Oct 25th 2018

Reuse this content

About *The Economist*

Advertisement

Advertisement

Donald Trump and violence

A massacre in Pittsburgh illustrates America's disunity

A leader without morals cannot provide moral leadership

DEMOCRACY IN AMERICA

Brazil's elections

Containing Jair Bolsonaro

Australia

Australia's economy is still booming, but politics is a cause for concern

Banyan

How to read Japan's rapprochement with China

Tell us what you think of Economist.com

[Leave feedback](#)

Need assistance with your subscription?

[Contact us](#)

[Classified ads](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Group subscriptions](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Help](#)

Keep updated



Sign up to get more from *The Economist*

Get 3 free articles per week, daily newsletters and more.

Email address

[Sign up](#)

About The Economist

[Advertise](#)

[Reprints](#)

[Careers](#)

[Media Centre](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Privacy](#)

[Cookie Policy](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Modern Slavery Statement](#)

Copyright © The Economist Newspaper Limited 2018. All rights reserved.